## TRANSCRIPT

From Syndicated Linkstorm Talkcast "It Burns," with Roger Burns September 17th, 9:00 p.m.

BURNS: Good evening from the United States of Transylvania. Let's get to business because what's going on in this country is beyond the pale. I'm speaking, of course, of the testimony today in the court case of *Lorenz v. BRHI*, in which we learned some important facts. On this show, facts matter because they're how you present reality. It's the other sides, the dinoparties and the heartbrained left, that distort and lie to scare and control people. Our linkstorm is how you fight against that. People come up to me saying, "Roge, Roge, you gotta speak out about the undead. You gotta warn people," and I must correct them. These people, vampires, they're not actually dead. They're different from what you see in the movies and TV.

See, I make that correction because the reality is even worse.

You know the drill by now: every time I say a keyphrase, a link will flash in the stream. Let's go.

"VIHPS is a disease," say the heartbrainers. No. The flu is a disease. This is a plague, and like the plague, it's not going to stop until there are millions of dead in the gutters of America and all across the world. Why? Math. These vampire people, we don't know how many of them there really are. It could be hundreds. It could be tens of thousands. "So what?" you say. There's 400 million Americans. That's a drop in the bucket. No, it's not.

It's not because every single one of them is driven to communicate their disease at least once a week or so. This isn't a disease that can be isolated. It will be spread deliberately, and it won't kill its host. That means the original vampire, and every single person they bite, are all going to keep infecting and infecting, until everyone on Earth has the disease, and then they'll have to turn on each other. Or—and this is the rosy scenario—the vampires choose to kill their victims instead. One a week per vampire. That is the rate they need to feed. Those are the facts Lorenz's expert witness brought out today.

So, what do you call that if you're a normal human being? You call it a war. This is a war on blood. We didn't start it, but we'll finish it, and that is the mentality the judge must have, for the good of the species. Because if they give the other side even an ounce of credibility, they are idiots. I can knock down the arguments against it one by one or all together. Okay, I'm taking your calls. What vampire-coddling firehose of stupid have you heard from your Solar Citizen friends? Hello, caller one, what's your name?

LILAH: Lilah.

BURNS: Lilah, you are on like a light switch. Tell me how the stupid burns.

LILAH: Hi, Roge, I saw a bumper sticker saying, "if corporations are people, why aren't vampires?" What do you have to say to that?

BURNS: Well, first you're getting your political philosophy from something you see in traffic.

LILAH: Oh, God, not me. That's not my car.

BURNS: Okay, but let's address it, though. A corporation is a legal entity. That's the law of the land. But more to the point, a corporation is responsible to someone: its shareholders. Human beings consciously chose to create the corporation or invest in the corporation to advance their own interests. A vampire has none of that. The only master a vampire serves is its thirst for human blood. Normal hunger, normal thirst, self-preservation, all basic human drives take a back seat, all right?

But here's what the apologists don't get. There's a key philosophical point here. Crimes are voluntary actions. If you make the choice to shoot someone in the head, premeditated murder, you just threw away your right to freedom or life... whichever, based on what penalty you get and what <u>state you're in</u>. That's why <u>educated people</u> like <u>in the Freedom Forever Party</u> say that the <u>death penalty isn't murder</u>; it's justice. It's the killer's own choices that get him convicted and snuffed. It's acknowledging that free will and responsibility exist.

We're going to go to another caller now. You are on the air. Tell me how the stupid burns.

BRAD: Brad here. I want to address the one I hear all the time. My mom keeps telling me that BRHI's claim is ridiculous. She's like, "A disease doesn't change people. You can't lock someone up without violating their civil rights." I don't know about that—

BURNS: Glad you brought it up, Brad. "It's absurd." I've been hearing it all week. "Taking away someone's civil rights because they have a disease is absurd." Bull pucky. This isn't the flu we're talking about here, or even pre-vaccine Ebola, and believe me, if it were Ebola, we'd quarantine them. Nothing absurd about that. This virus, it alters human DNA into a biological killing machine. And we take away people's rights to liberty and the pursuit of happiness all the freaking time. It's called jail. You're taking murderers, which is what these vampires are, and stripping the right to walk free from them.

BRAD: I said that, and she's like, "innocent until proven guilty. That's how we do things."

BURNS: That's wrong. It's a fair point, but it's wrong. For starters, I have trouble believing some guy addicted to human blood isn't going to have some of it on his hands, but let's say that <u>mythical beast</u> exists. Take the lib-brat claim, "If you can't pin a murder on them, if they just infect, and it's all consensual, you can't lock them up."

BRAD: Exactly. Suppose they said there's no legal whatsit called, prior case. Precedent.

BURNS: You tell them there is. It's called <u>Kelly v. Seven Star Health and Hospice</u>. Twenty years back, this woman, <u>Moira Kelly</u>, was infected with HIV and syphilis. You would think after she'd gotten one of them she'd be more careful, <u>but she wasn't</u>. She was a sex addict—seriously, she was clinically <u>diagnosed</u>—and they <u>locked her up in a mental ward</u>. She <u>sued</u>, saying she couldn't be confined indefinitely. And in the trial, the hospital said very simply, if they let her out, there was no doubt in their minds—none—that she'd infect people. She was a <u>danger to herself and others</u>, just like these vampires. And the court ruled in favor of the hospital. So, no precedent? Bovine fertilizer.

BRAD: Thanks, man. I'm out.

BURNS: Next caller, tell me your name and how the stupid burns.

UMBERTO: Yes, I am Umberto, and I'm wondering why no one has called this virus God-related yet.

BURNS: What, you mean like a punishment wrought by God?

UMBERTO: Yes. If you look at the advantages a vampire has, there are many. There is strength, there is resistance to wounding and disease. But if you look at all the other viruses we know throughout history, none is so advantageous so fast.

BURNS: I hear what you're saying, but how does that jibe with punishment?

UMBERTO: It's like in movies, where there are superheroes made by mutation. That would never happen. Mutation is tiny little things like eye color or allergies, almost never beneficial. But this virus, it is so magical, you cannot help but see the hand of God in it.

BURNS: Yeah, I've wondered that myself. I mean, the atheists have lost all credibility ever since gi

<u>hit the big time</u>. You just can't explain these miracles we're starting to see every day. And now, of course, it stands to reason that if you're going to get good stuff like <u>qi infusions to slow bleeding</u>, you're also going to get the bad. I mean all the bad: <u>black magic</u>, <u>agents of the Adversary</u> running around, <u>end times</u> and all that.

UMBERTO: Keep your eyes open, my friend. And remember, it is no crime to demonize one who is an actual demon.

BURNS: Guess we're back to bumper stickers. Next caller, you're on the air.

JANIS: Yeah, Roger, my name's Janis, and I've been listening to your show, and you serve up the biggest dose of stupid on the air.

BURNS: Gee, never heard that one before. Get to your point, please.

JANIS: You've been inciting bloodshed for a week now. You can't just yell into the megaphone of talkcasts and say, "we're at war with the infected." What about that case in North Carolina? Ten people grabbed a fifty-two-year-old man and lynched him.

BURNS: Miss, I was not one of those people.

JANIS: They lynched him! And you helped, didn't you?

BURNS: No, I did not.

JANIS: You put it in their mind. You legitimized it.

BURNS: If you're going to confuse someone <u>talking about an action</u> with the people <u>doing that action</u>, you have no concept of responsibility.

JANIS: Are you going to denounce what they did?

BURNS: I don't know the facts of the case.

JANIS: You're not even going to come out against lynching?

BURNS: I am saying, if he were attempting to assault them or <u>drink their blood</u>, then it's entirely possible it was an act of <u>self-defense</u>.

JANIS: It was a mob of ten people!

BURNS: There were ten people because he could've dead-lifted nine of them.

JANIS: You're not denouncing it at all. You're encouraging it.

BURNS: I am saying we have a justice system so we don't <u>rush to conclusions</u>.

JANIS: That man was a father, a husband. And if Lorenz loses, it's going to be open season. You will see mobs in every state, in every city.

BURNS: We can hope. Good night, Janis.